FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING OF THE LOUISIANA ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION TAKEN AT THE LOUISIANA STATE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM BUILDING, FOURTH FLOOR BOARDROOM, 8401 UNITED PLAZA BOULEVARD, BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA, ON THE 21ST DAY OF MARCH, 2016, COMMENCING AT 1:28 P.M.
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MS . THAM:
It's 1:30, so if we could go
ahead and do roll call, we'll start the
Finance Committee meeting.
MR. SORRELL:
Susan Tham.
MS . THAM:
Here.
MR. SORRELL:
Ann Villa.
MS. VILLA:
Here.
MR. SORRELL:
Alden Andre.
MR. ANDRE :
Here.
MR. SORRELL:
Nitin Kamath.
MR. KAMATH:
Here.
MR. SORRELL:
We have a quorum.
MS . THAM:
All right. Starting off, we
have a welcome message from LEDC
secretary. Oh, that's the other -- I'm looking -- well, I apologize. I have a computer problem today, and so my documents are a little hard to manipulate.

All right. So we're going to start out with the audit reports, the financial statements as of June 30, 2015. Erin Sindelar, CPA, Louisiana Legislative Auditor.

MR. DAIGLE :
Good afternoon. My name is
Aaron Daigle. Erin Sindelar is the auditor. She couldn't be here today. Thank you. I'm the senior legislative auditor assigned to LEDC.

So we issued an audit report in December, and this is a full scope financial statement audit for fiscal year end '15, and what this means is that we opined on the financials as whole as well as the no disclosures. We issued an unmodified opinion on the financial statements, and that is a clean opinion. I'm sure everyone's had
a chance to review the reports, so I just wanted to briefly summarize what was in that report. We included full setup financial statements, LEDC's financial statements. In addition, we also included the minutes to the financial statements as well.
One additional supplemental piece of information that we included was schedule 1 , which is on page 23 , and what that does is it basically breaks out the cost hearing value and fair value of the investments that LEDC has. Another thing I wanted to point out included a report on internal controls over financial reporting and compliance. This is required information by the government auditing standards, and we did not identify any deficiencies in internal controls that we would consider material weaknesses, and we did not identify any deficiencies that we consider material amount of employments. So that's a summary of our report. And I'd be happy to answer any
questions.

> MS . THAM:

Thank you. And
congratulations to the accounting staff for having a clean report.

MR. DAIGLE:
Yeah. Thank you.
MS . THAM:
Are there highlights that
you'd like to point out on this financial statement for us, what you consider to be the most important aspects for the board to know?

MR. DAIGLE :
I guess there's nothing really that $I$ needed to touch on specifically. Year to year, the net position period can decreases 10 million or so. I mean, there's nothing significant from year to year that's changed that I would need to highlight or note.

MS . THAM:
Let me ask you one question
I have. You know, all the state
agencies, one of the big things that's showing up on the financial statements that's worrying people is we're really
highlighting for the first time postretirement benefits, and the underfunded pension balances, and that's not done as that because LEDC doesn't really have employees we share, so we're allocating that cost. MR. DAIGLE : Correct. And it's my understanding that everything goes through LED, so that would appear in the LEDC financials.

MS. THAM:
Makes sense. Gentlemen, does anybody have any questions about the reports? I know one of the most important aspects of these reports and one of the ones that, you know, affect decisions the most are the valuations, and Chaffe has come to give us a little information on that. That's always one of the most important aspects here of what we're looking at, and I guess also
one of the hardest things to come up with. So if we could hear from Chaffe.

We have our representatives from Chaffe.
Is there anything else you folks want to
tell us, any concerns?
MR. DAIGLE :
No. Nothing other than we had a really good experience doing our audit. This team was our first year with this team. I know last year the legislative auditor was in there. We switched teams, but I can tell you going forward, our other team will be consistent, and I think that that will help with moving the audit along efficiently. Everyone, you know, knows everyone, so I think that will...

MS . THAM:
I think we're going to need a little more detail of the numbers when we actually get into the board meeting; is that right?

Are you going to give a
report today?
MS. VILIA:

I'll give a report as to the
current financial statements, but not specific to --

MS . THAM:
Not one that are audited. I
guess the important fact here is that those number all seem reasonable, so we're assuming, going forward, that we have good numbers to look at. So if no one has any questions for the Legislative Auditor's Office, I'd like to hear from the folks at Chaffe about their evaluation of the LEDC investments.

Can I get a motion to accept the audit report as presented?

MR. ANDRE:
So moved.
MS. THAM:
A second?
MR. KAMATH:
(Indicating.)
MS . THAM:
Then shall we take a vote to accept? Say "aye" or "nay."
(Several members respond
"aye.")
MS . THAM:
It is passed. We appreciate it, and we accept the audit report as given.

MR. DAIGLE :
Okay. Thank you.
MS. THAM:
Let's see. The valuations of the LEDC investments as of the fiscal year end. Marc Katsanis. Is that right?

MR. KATSANIS:
Pretty good. Marc Katsanis. I'll introduce myself.

MS . THAM:
Right. And so you go through and you do the valuations of these investments, and I know that generally you have the three categories. You have the -- I don't have my -unfortunately, my computer is not working, but you have cost basis, carrying value, and fair market value;
is that right?
MR. KATSANIS:
I guess our report is really
focusing on the fair market value. I
believe the other two bases would probably be in the audit report.

MS . THAM:
Right. And so we have
Exhibit 1 from your report -- is that right? -- that you can go over with us and let us know a little bit about the investments and where they stand now as opposed to the original bases?

MR. KATSANIS:
Yes. And just going through
Exhibit 1, the way that it's organized is each investment -- the first column of numbers was the fair value as of June 2014. This is really just a summary of everything that happened over the year, and that column will show if there's any additional capital calls from LEDC, in which case, in 2015 there wasn't any. That next column of numbers just shows any return capital to LEDC from any of
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these funds, in which case it looks like there were three of the investments that returned some capital. The next column is simply a change in the market value of the investment from 2014 to '15, and the remainder of the columns sort of shows a summary of the overall return on investment gain and loss. So we start off the next column after the 2015 value is cumulative. Basically that's everything that LEDC got back so far plus any market appreciation or depreciation, or -- I take that back. This column next to the 2015 is simply return capital. The column to the right adds in any fair market value
appreciation or depreciation. The second-to-last column is how much money LEDC invested, and the final column is the total gain or loss to date on the investment.

MS . THAM:
I don't see totals on this.
Is there anywhere where the totals for
all of these -- for instance, the gain
and loss column is added together to
see -- I know we have it -- the carrying value versus the cost on the financial
statement, but does -- is there --
MR. KATSANIS :
I guess we didn't have it
included in this schedule.
MS. THAM:
Okay. But for the
individual items, we can see which ones have done well and which ones have floundered.

Gentlemen, have you seen
Exhibit 1, and do you have any questions on any of these particular funds? Can I ask why the New Orleans Startup Fund is on here. We haven't made an investment yet, but it's -- or currently, but there's a fair market value listed for it?

MR. KATSANIS:
Well, New Orleans Startup
Fund is a bit of a unique instrument. There has been money that was invested, although it is -- it's a nonprofit fund,
so basically unlike some of these other funds, LEDC would carry equity interest.

New Orleans Startup, since it is a nonprofit organization, the capital invested can -- it would just sort of turn over once it's returned to be reinvested in other things, so we've included this in the analysis for the purpose of the audit. I believe it needs to be put in a disclosure, so because in case this fund were ever to
liquidate, then LEDC would be, along with, I guess, other contributors to the fund, would we be able to get some sort of liquidated history.

MS . THAM:
But it doesn't show any
investment by LEDC here.
MR. KATSANIS :
Not in 2015.
MS. THAM:
But there is one going that
has come forward?
MR. KATSANIS:
I guess prior to 2015, there
would have been a number in that column. Let's see.

MS . THAM:
Anyone have any other
questions about the exhibit?
MR. ANDRE :
I do. End Game. Could you help refresh my memory what is End Game about and why is it so negative? We've invested 5 million and we've lost right at 4.

MR. KATSANIS:
End Game is a film
production company. It's a film, motion picture production company. I guess they're, you know, that's just sort of an accurate depiction of -- they haven't really had any blockbuster films at this point.

MS. THAM:
Does your report include a description of each of these, Susan?

MS. VILLA:
I'm going to ask Susan
Bigner to come and comment more on End

Game for the board.
MS . THAM:
Right. Thank you. Susan.
MS. BIGNER:
End Game was an investment
that we made. It was a 5 million dollar investment that we made in the early 2000s. At that time, it was a fund. They did, I think, Tommy the Truck, and they decided to take it and make it into an operating company. Our investment at that time was over -- the value of it was more than 5 million. At that time, we asked them to bring it back down to 5 million and invest that back into the operating company. So we have a 5 million dollar investment in there. They do films, like the Looper film was one of them. I'm trying to think of some of the other ones that are off the top of my head. I be can you a run-down of the films when $I$ get back to the office and do a memo up on it if you'd like.

MR. KATSANIS:

In my report, there's a list of their films.

MS. THAM:
Thank you.
MR. KATSANIS:
It's Exhibit 15, page 1.
MS . THAM:
Do we have anything to track or look at how many jobs have been created by this?

MS. BIGNER:
None of these are ever
tracked with jobs. We track the jobs in the fund itself, but not in the investment on the non-LEDC. I mean, the non-SSBCI. In SSBCI, we do, but these were investments that were -- the majority of them were made 2003, 2004, maybe as late as 2005 or ' 6, and these were all made with LEDC funds except for, of course, New Orleans Startup Fund and Themelios. And you asked already about New Orleans Startup Fund. New Orleans Startup Fund has about \$150,000 unfunded of their 2 million dollars. So
they're using their funds, and they're getting them out there, some really good companies. The Lafayette General -- I don't know if that one's on there, because that one -- okay.

MR. KATSANIS:
No, they hadn't made any investments.

MS. BIGNER:
They have since made a
capital call of, $I$ believe, a little over $\$ 450,000$ and they're putting it into a company that is very-well recognized. I think we've got a list of the investments and everything. If you'd like, we'll give you a rundown of them if that's what you want, but --

MS . THAM:
Most of these, is there an exit strategy for them? They have a planned end date? I know some of the venture capital investments do.

MS . BIGNER:
On the nonprofit, no. On
the for-profit, they are supposed to
have an exit. A couple of these, the majority of these are -- the non-SSBCI are hitting close to their tenure. Usually it's ten-year fund with two-one year extensions, so we're looking to pretty much have an exit within the next five years of all of them.

MS. THAM: And what does it require for an extension on these?

MS . BIGNER :
Sometimes it's the general partner states that's they're going to go ahead and do the extension, to go ahead and liquidate the rest of the portfolio. Sometimes it's all limited. Partners have to vote on it. Those basically are the two factors.

MS. THAM:
Gentlemen, do you have any questions about these, any of these in particular or the group as a whole and how they're valued?

MR. REINE :
Since I'm late -- I didn't
know I was on the Finance Committee.
When you say investment, can you explain that, please?

MS . BIGNER:
What we do is we do a fund to fund. We take our money and put it into a fund with other partners. From that point, they look at small businesses or existing businesses, preferably in Louisiana, and they do due diligence to make sure that it looks like it's viable, how high is the risk, what stage is it in, what's the market look like. They go through all of that, and then they go back and they take it to a committee, to an investment committee, and it's approved by an investment committee, and then they decide to fund it. Later, they may come back and do a follow-on investment to keep the investment going, and sometimes they do and sometimes they don't. You're lucky if you get one out of a fund that really hits it big. Esponse [phonetic] is involved in a couple of
our funds. I don't know if you've heard of Esponse.

MR. REINE:
When you say our money,
you're talking about LEDC, Department of Economic Development's money, taxpayers' money? And the purpose of this is to.

MS . BIGNER:
Promote capital investment across the state.

MR. REINE:
And this End Game is located in West Hollywood, California.

MS . BIGNER:
They have to have -- at the time that they're investing, they have to have a site office here in Louisiana.

MR. REINE :
So they just to have to have an office; they don't have to do projects here, or --

MS. BIGNER:
There are requirements that
they have to give preference to
Louisiana companies. In these older
investments, that was the preference
then. We had people that had, like I
said, they had offices here if they were not formed here, and their job was to look at companies and projects that were going on in the state of Louisiana and get those through to the investment process. The new SSBCI, all SSBCI funds have to be in Louisiana. MR. REINE: I don't know what that means.

MS. BIGNER:
I'm sorry?
MR. REINE:
I said there you go with
those initials that $I$ have no idea what you're talking about.

MS. BIGNER:
That's the State Small
Business Credit Initiative. We
allocated 5 million dollars. New Orleans Startup Fund, Themelios. New Orleans Startup Fund was allocated 2 million. Themelios was given a million.

Lafayette General, the foundation was given a million, and then we have a million here in Baton Rouge with the Catalyst Fund.

MR. REINE :
So this totals, like, about 40 million dollars?

MS . BIGNER:
That includes some
investments that were made back in 2003, 2004 that are in their liquidation stages. So you have a mixture of just LEDC dollars and SSBCI on this startup.

MR. REINE:
And we're still doing this?
MS . BIGNER:
At this time, the program --
there's not any funding to do it.
MS. THAM:
The only funding that we're doing with this is coming from the State Small Business Credit Initiative currently.

MR. REINE:
Okay.

MS. VILLA:
That program that we've had in place since late 2011.

MS . BIGNER:
Yeah. Around 2010, I think, we put a moratorium on Venture Capital Program.

MR. ROY:
You said they're supposed to have an exit strategy. Do they, in fact, have that.

MS . BIGNER:
We've been through the
files, and I believe all of them -yeah, all of them do have exit strategies.

MR. BAHAM:
I can say a little bit more on that. The only ones on this list that don't have any type of exit strategy are going to be the three operating companies at the end, because there are growing concerns. End Game originally started out with the fund and transferred into an operating entity
after our investments were already made years later. The funds -- the investment companies listed at the top, the only one on this list that does not have an exit date or time stamp would be the New Orleans Startup Fund just because that is an evergreen nonprofit that could go on indefinitely.

MR. REINE :
When you say an exit
strategy, that means the date they're going to sell this, pay it back?

MS . BIGNER:
Each fund usually has a life of ten years. There's an early stage where you're doing your investments, and then you're growing your investments, and your goal is to exit out of that investment either by an IPO or someone buys you out within that ten-year period. If not, then they go into the extensions to try to liquidate. That's where, like I said, somebody comes in and either buys them out or it goes public.

MR. REINE:
And then so then LEDC gets
the money back?
MS . BIGNER:
Correct.
MR. REINE :
And then what --
MS . BIGNER:
We get our portion of the returns back.

MR. REINE:
We when you get the money back, what you do with it then?

MS. VILIA:
Well, the money that we've gotten back, I'd have to go back and see what we did with those funds.

MR. REINE :
Do you reinvest it? Move it to something else? Do you give it back to the --

MS. VILLA:
The only funding that we're doing right now is with -- whenever we look at the current financial
statements, you'll see cash from investments as a source of funds for the LED fund. So cash from investments would be these type of returns, so every year when we're budgeting, we have a line item that that says cash and investments. So that's where the cash would come from. For specifically returns that we have, I'd have to go back and see when those returns came, where the money actually went to, but the LED fund that we have that funds all of LED has a line item that says cash from investments as well as we get funding from Scott Debt [phonetic] for the LED fund. Currently, we have just the State Small Business Credit Initiative, which are federal dollars, are the dollars that we're using to invest in venture capital. That's the only funds that we currently have. MR. REINE: So it just stays in that. MS. VILLA: It stays in the -- it goes
into the LED fund.
MR. REINE :
Okay.
MS . THAM:
I think it's a little hard to evaluate what benefit the state gets from the venture capital programs. I don't think it's just what you see here is the return on the investment, and $I$ guess that's something I'd like to see more of, but I think we can go and look at individual statements and see what they've done and if they've fostered or started any new work, because, you know, I don't think just the dollar amounts here tell us all the benefit that would accrue in the state from having these kind of funds available.

Does anyone else have anymore questions of Chaffe about the investments and the valuations on the investments? Okay. Would anyone like to move to accept the Chaffe investment report.

MR. KAMATH .

## Move.

MS . THAM:
A second?
MR. ANDRE :
Second.
MS. THAM:
Shall we vote? All for, say
"aye."
(Several members respond
"aye.")
MS. THAM:
And against, "nay."
(No response.)
It passes. We appreciate it. I think it might be good to be looking at how well these did and are continuing to do with the returns from these. I do have a couple of questions on the balance sheet. You know, I always wonder about exactly the different categories that we have of equity. You know, the balance sheet as of June $30 t h$, now that I've gotten my commuter back to almost working, separates out the restricted assets and
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the unrestricted assets. The restrictions, are they all the SSBCI loans, are they all the ones -- the money that's used for the loans? What are our restrictions? I apologize for pulling you back up here, but I could not get my computer to work earlier so I could so see the financial statements, but on the balance sheet, you know, of the equity --

MS. VILLA:
Page 5, correct?
MS . THAM:
Page 5. Right. It says we
have restricted for specific purposes.
You know, $I$ know we have different
funds, and don't think that is
restricted, but I don't think that's
necessarily the same definition here.
So are these restricted for the credit
loan program for loans guarantees?
MR. DAIGLE :
Yeah. They're restricted
for the State -- the SSBCI.
MR. SMITH:
$\square$ Yeah. The restrictions is for the entire program, which is all of the investments into venture capital and all of the loans. That's what that 10 million represents to date.

MS . THAM:
You know, I look at the unrestricted equity and I always think that the different funds we have on our financials statements, the restriction is set by law. The restriction -- I'm not sure --

MR. SMITH:
What they made us do last time when the legislative auditor came in and did their audit, they made us separate it into two programs. So the 50 million you see is from the regular LEDC program that's been around. The 10 is the influx of SSBCI activity.

MS . THAM:
Okay. So that's why it's different.

MR. SMITH:
Correct.

MS . THAM:
Okay. Thank you. I didn't quite understand why. I know we have certain funds we're supposed to keep certain balances in, but why it's not more of that was restricted in some way was confusing.

MR SMITH:
Right. Separate the two programs.

> MS . THAM:

If everyone had a chance to see the balance sheet income statement, were there any questions on that? We did have, $I$ guess, what those of us who were not in government would call a net loss. In other words, we spent more than we made -- but that may be part of our mission here -- by about 10 million. Does anybody have any questions about any of the categories of expenses or where our revenues come from? I guess that's always a condition here. On the revenues that we've been getting, sort of as a bringing us up to date, are
there any changes that have come in or revenue or vendors compensation or anything that's going to make a large impact on us based on these last fiscal extensions? Nothing was apparent to me, but I'm not sure $I$ know all of the ins and outs on how we get our vendor compensation, and so none of that's expect to affect our appropriation, or --

> MS. VILLA:

No. There's a straight
calculation. Mandi, correct me if I'm wrong, but a couple of years ago there's a straight calculation that is done on the sales tax collection, so basically we were in a position to be affected whenever changes occurred to vendors comp, but because of that change in the law a couple of years ago, we now just have a straight calculation of sales tax collections. So yes, 04 percent yeah. That was a straight calculation, so that means we will no longer be affected if there's any change to business comp so
that we can continue to have that fund into the LED fund.

MS. THAM:
Will the increase in the sales tax, state sales tax affect the calculations? Would there be more business compensation perhaps?

MS. VILLA:
We would expect it to. I haven't -- I mean, I don't think it's going to be large dollar amounts. We only collect about 11.2, if memory serves me without looking.

MS . THAM:
Right. Ten and a half for
last fiscal year.
MS. VILIA:
So about 11.2 is what we're estimating, so not significant changes.

MS . THAM:
Thank you. You know, I look
at all the bills from the tax perspective, and I know that sometimes there are things happening behind the scenes that you don't understand and are

|  | Torres Reporting \& Associates, inc. | Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 <br> 225.752.7308 FAX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | COURT REPORTING \& LITIGATION SERVICES www.torresreporting.com 1.866.982.6878 Toll Free | New Orleans, LA <br> 504.392.4791 <br> 504.392.4852 FAX |

not necessarily intended or well-known result of some of these laws. I'm glad to hear it's not affecting the income. Does anyone else have any questions on the financial statement? No? Are there any questions from the public?

All right. Our next item on the agenda is a loan participation charge-off request, and this has been brought by Errol Smith of the Christian Pennington Program -- oh, no, Christian Pennington; program administrator/loans and investments. There we go. It sounds like a very official name, though.

MR. SMITH:
Errol Smith. Christian is here. He can answer any questions that may come up about this, but this particular loan, MW Realty, has been on our books for sometime. I'm sure all of you up there are aware of it. It was a dealership located in Monroe, Louisiana, and at this point, Christian probably
can expound a little more, but there is no collateral to collect. The property has been sold. I think it was a couple years ago, maybe three years ago, the property was sold. Of that particular sale, we have gotten our portion of the proceeds from that. This particular loan was with, I think, Hope Credit Union and LED who participated in that, so at this point, there's nothing else to go after. We're just holding this number on our books. We're never going to get anything for it, so staff is recommending that we write this off at this time. So if you have any questions, you can ask Christian who might have more of a historical knowledge then I do.

MS. THAM:
Does that show on our
financial statements as a complete specific right now or allowance for this time?

MR. SMITH:
Yes. We have an allowance
for each particular type loan that we have.

> MS THAM:
> And where was collateral?
> MR. SMITH:
> It was at 100 percent.
> MR. PENNINGTON:
> There was some land up in

North Louisiana. It was sold basically
when the business closed -- basically when the business shut down in 2013, the land was sold off, and we received distribution according to our
participation amount. Hope Credit Union didn't call the actual note until June of 2015. They're allowed -- the business owner may put into term if you paid off or something like that. What has happened is the guarantor -- we had a guarantor on it. Essentially, he's no longer living in Louisiana. He's not even living in the country anymore, and actually Hope Credit Union is still in litigation trying to get something from him, but he lives in Canada, and it
could take a quite sometime if we get anything, and I think Errol and I both were kind of the opinion that we're not going to get made whole in this 100 percent.

MS . THAM:
And I assume that if they do collect something later on down the road, even if we've written this off, we would get our percentage and just add it back as income?

MS . SMITH:
Correct.
MS . THAM:
What were the final numbers?
How much did we invest and what did we get back?

MR. PENNINGTON:
I have -- the first one was done in 2001, and let me see.

MR. SMITH:
Our portion was 774,000.
MR. PENNINGTON:
Yeah, at the top. Our portion was 774,000 of the initial loan,
and what happened was that business went bad on the first loan, and then we helped another owner of the current guarantor come in and buy the business. Now, what happened was no money changed hands on it for our part. Basically, we just rolled that debt into the new business, the new entity, because if we hadn't done that, we wouldn't have lost essentially what was up to that point and even pay down some of what was owed, pay a couple hundred thousands dollars down, because at that point when it went bad in 2009, he still owed $\$ 500,000$ on it, and as of today, $I$ want to say it's $\$ 301,000$.

MR. SMITH:
338.

MR. PENNINGTON:
Yeah, 338 is what is the remainder on all balances, and that's debt and guarantors. So it's kind of a convoluted process, but so essentially we -- they paid back 400,000 to us over the two different loans over the 15-year

```
period.
```

MS . THAM:
Who sold the land? Did the owners sell the land? Did the bank sell the land?

MR. PENNINGTON:
The bank sold the land. The bank sold the land at auction. It's part of a workout they had, you know, to try to collect on it. That was done in 2013, in June of 2013.

MS. THAM:
Does anyone have any
questions regarding the loan? So what you're requesting today is that we go ahead and take it off the books. It's already being shown in that zero on the financial statement. It doesn't affect our ability or our legal right to collect anything if we do in the future.

MR. PENNINGTON:
I'll ask Mr. Bob, but I don't think so.

MR. CANGELOSI:
No, it does not. The bank
has the obligation to continue to pursue the indebtedness if they have anything to recover. If they recover anything, we'll get our portion of the share of that recovery, and it will be income on our balance sheet.

MR. ROY:
We're taking a total
charge-off at this time so it's not a partial, right?

MR. CANGELOSI:
Right. It's a partial
because it's only what's remaining on
the indebtedness. Originally, it was a seven --

MR. PENNINGTON:
700, 000.
MR. CANGELOSI:
700,000. It's only 300,000
something now. We recovered more than half, but we're still owed.

MR. ROY:
Total of what's on the
books?
MR. CANGELOSI:

Correct. Right.
MR. REINE :
What's our share? What's
our percent in the deal?
MR. PENNINGTON:
Let me see.
MR. CANGELOSI:
37.62 percent.

MR. PENNINGTON:
Yeah, I thought it was about 40 percent, but $I$ didn't know exactly what it was.

MS . THAM:
Does anyone else have any questions? Any comments? I think this is mostly a financial statement presentation at this point. It sounds like management thinks there is absolutely no chance we'll get anything back, but, of course, $I$ don't know if we can prove that. So their request, I assume, is to go ahead and write this off the books?

MR. PENNINGTON:
Yes, ma'am.
MS. THAM:
Would anyone like to move to do that?

MR. ANDRE :
Move.
MR. ROY:
Second.
MS. THAM:
We'll take a vote. All in
favor, say "aye."
(Several members respond
"aye.")
MS . THAM:
All opposed, say "nay."
(No response.)
MS . THAM:
And it passes. We can go
ahead and write that off the books.
Thank you, gentlemen. At this time, is there any business for the Finance Committee? No? All right, then. I guess we'll adjourn this meeting and start our board meeting. Thank you very much.
(The meeting adjourned at 2:09 p.m.)
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